296 ST. LUKE. L   
   
 the sixth month the angel Gabriel was sent from God unto   
 a city of Galilee, named Nazareth, \*7 to a virgin ¥ espoused   
 y Matt. 18, to a‘man whose name was Joseph, of the house of David ;   
 cb. 6. and the virgin’s name was Mary. % And the angel came   
 in unto her, and said, \* Hail, thou that art highly favoured,   
 = Dan. 98: \* the Lord is with thee[4: blessed art thou among women].   
 x19. 29 And [t when she saw him,] »she was troubled at his   
 a Jadg. 13. saying, and ® cast in her mind what manner of salutation   
 Db 12. this should be. % And the angel said unto her, Fear not,   
 Mary: for thou hast found favour with God. 5! ¢ And,   
 behold, thou shalt conceive in thy womb, and bring forth   
 o Tea. 16. ason, and ‘shalt call his name Jesus. %% He shall be   
 Matt. 31. great, °and shall be called the Son of the Highest: and   
 €the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father   
 ach. ii. David: 88 and he shall reign over the house of Jacob for   
 o Mark ever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end. % Then   
 said Mary unto the angel, How shall this be, seeing I   
 £2 8am. 11, know not a man? %5 And the angel answered and said   
 12. unto her, ® The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the   
 11, Ise. 6   
 Rev. xvi.   
 Dan. fi,   
 Micah iv.7.   
 Joho xil.   
   
 Q omitted by several of the ancient authorities T omit.   
 5 the word is rendered, mused, ch. v.15; thought, cd, xii. 17; consider,   
 John xi. 50.   
   
 ANGRL oF THE BretH oF CuRist. Eph. i. 6 us accepted” A.V.). It   
 26.) in the sixth month—referring to the corresponds to “thou hast found favour   
 « five months” in ver. Nazareth] with God,” ver. 80. . his father   
 In this particular information of our David] This announcement makes it   
 Evangelist appears to fuller that of certain (still note above) that Mary   
 St. Matthew, who seems not to be of also was of the of David. No asto-   
 any residence at Nazareth previous to nishment is expressed by her at part   
 birth of our Lord: but see note Matt. of the statement, yet, the nature   
 ii, 22. 27.) of the house of David of her question, is clear ske did   
 refers to Joseph in this place, who (see vii. 18: Ixxxix, 3,4: Isa, ix. Jer.   
 Matt. i.) was the direct of David. differs 15. that 3A, 85.] This question   
 That Mary was so, is expressed It is merely an enquiry after masaer   
 in the Gospels, but seems to implied in in which eo wonderful thing should   
 ver. 82, and has been the general belief place; not, how shall I know this ?—it   
 of Christians. The Son of David was to takes for that it shall and only   
 be the fruit of his body (Ps. cxxxii. asks, How? The Holy Ghost—the   
 which He would not be, unless virgin creative Spirit God, of whom it is   
 mother was of the house of David. Gen. i. 2, He “moved upon the face   
 notes on the geneal in ch. iii. Still, the waters.” But as the world not   
 we must remember the absolute oneness created by the Holy Ghost, but by the   
 in the marriage relation, which might Son, 80 also Lord was not tten by   
 occasion that Mary herself should be the Holy Ghost, but by the Father; and   
 reckoned as being in very deed which that, before the worlds. “No more ¥   
 her husband was. Perhaps this has been here to be attributed to the Spirit,   
 hardly enough taken into account. what is necessary to cause Virgin to   
 28.) highly favoured, not of grace,” perform the actions of a mother. .   
 e Vulgate :—the above isthe meaning   
 of the original word in the only other   
 place where it occurs in the N. T., viz.